IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:-

THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.H.L.DATTU
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.T.SANKARAN

THURSDAY, THE 5TH JULY 2007 / 14TH ASHADHA 1929

Cont.Case(C).No.16 of 2007(S)

ARISING FROM THE JUDGEMENT IN O.P.NO.10421/2000 DATED 27.1.2006.

.....

PETITIONER:-

G. SANTHOSH KUMAR, AGED 43 YEARS,
SON OF LATE G.GOVINDA PANICKER, PUTHEN PURAYIL HOUSE,
THURUTHI BHAGOM, N.NAGAR P.O., AROOKUTTY VILLAGE,
CHERTHALA TALUK, ALAPPUZHA DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.V.GIRI.

RESPONDENTS:-

NIVEDITHA P.HARAN,
(AGE NOT KNOWN, FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN),
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, REVENUE (A) DEPARTMENT,
SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY SENIOR GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.M.R.SABU.

THIS CONTEMPT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 05/07/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:-

H.L.Dattu,C.J. & K.T.Sankaran,J.

Cont.Case (C).No.16 of 2007-S

Dated, this the 5th day of July, 2007

JUDGMENT

H.L.Dattu,C.J.

The only direction that was issued by this Court while disposing of O.P.No.10421 of 2000 was that the 1st respondent shall consider the matter afresh with notice to the petitioners and pass appropriate orders within a period of three months from the date of production of a copy of the judgment.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that after the disposal of O.P.No.10421 of 2000, the respondent has already passed an order and being aggrieved by the same, the petitioner has already preferred a writ petition before this Court.

3. In view of the subsequent developments, as on today the reliefs sought for by the petitioner does not survive for consideration of this Court. Accordingly, the contempt proceedings need not be continued further and they are dropped. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to agitate his claims in the writ petition that has been filed challenging the order now passed by the respondent.

Ordered accordingly.

H.L.Dattu
Chief Justice

K.T.Sankaran
Judge

vku/-